

STATE
of ALASKA

MEMORANDUM

RECEIVED

TO: Ron Lind, Director
Div. of Budget & Management

DATE: May 8, 1979

MAY 8 1979


FILE NO:

Budget And Management

TELEPHONE NO:

FROM: AVRUM M. GROSS
ATTORNEY GENERAL

SUBJECT: Expenditure or approval of expenditure of money before effective date of appropriation bill

By: 
Rodger W. Pegg
Assistant Attorney General

This confirms our telephone conversation of this date.

Where the legislature has passed an appropriation bill and there is an immediate need to expend the money or to approve its expenditure and to issue warrants for its expenditure, action can be taken in advance of the governor's approving the bill in writing when he has verbally approved it or when it is reasonably certain that the appropriation will not be vetoed and will become law.

RWP/pjg

Subject: Re: Early booking of appropriations

Date: Tue, 09 May 2000 15:43:40 -0800

From: "Jim Baldwin" <Jim_Baldwin@law.state.ak.us>

To: <Joan_Brown@gov.state.ak.us>

If there is no question that the items will survive a veto, we have said in the past that a supplemental, once enacted relates back to the beginning of the fiscal year. So, I concur in the early booking of the money so that there is little or no disruption in programs.

>>> Joan Brown <Joan_Brown@gov.state.ak.us> 05/06 9:13 AM >>>
Jim, this isn't a Saturday question, but just one I wanted to get to you before I forgot.

OMB has received ABs already from 2 depts to book supplemental appropriations contained in SB 192. Generally OMB only approves ABs once the bill in question has been transmitted to the Governor for signature. We anticipate that that will be around June 8 this year. Governor will sign bills on June 30 for a July 1 effective date.

My question: Can OMB approve these ABs to record supplementals before the bill is transmitted? These are, of course, items that the Gov requested.

Thanks.

booking supps

Subject: booking supps
Resent-From: OMBBUD@GOV.STATE.AK.US
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:43:49 -0800
From: Annalee McConnell <Annalee_Mcconnell@gov.state.ak.us>
Organization: Alaska Office of the Governor
To: GOV - OMB BUDGET <OMBBUD@GOV.STATE.AK.US>

jim Baldwin says it's ok to start booking supps as needed since transmittal of the bills is simply a ministerial action. As he put it, the only thing standing between the agencies and the money is time!

Subject: booking supps

Resent-From: OMBBUD@GOV.STATE.AK.US

Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:43:49 -0800

From: Annalee McConnell <Annalee_Mcconnell@gov.state.ak.us>

Organization: Alaska Office of the Governor

To: GOV - OMB BUDGET <OMBBUD@GOV.STATE.AK.US>

jim Baldwin says it's ok to start booking supps as needed since transmittal of the bills is simply a ministerial action. As he put it, the only thing standing between the agencies and the money is time!

Subject: Re: booking supps

Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:42:45 -0800

From: Annalee McConnell <Annalee_Mcconnell@gov.state.ak.us>

Organization: Alaska Office of the Governor

To: Jim Baldwin <Jim_Baldwin@law.state.ak.us>,
Joan A Brown <joan_brown@gov.state.ak.us>

thanks! we'll start the money flowing...

Jim Baldwin wrote:

> As long as we understand where the gov might end up on vetoes or
> reductions there should be no problem.
>
> Transmittal is a ministerial task, so the only thing between
> agencies and the money is the passage of time. Unlike the
> situation we had before the bill containing medicaid was passed.
>
>
> >>> Annalee McConnell <Annalee_Mcconnell@gov.state.ak.us>
> 05/11/01 03:43PM >>>
> Several agencies are antsy about getting access to badly needed
> supplemental funds. We've often allowed supps to be booked
> between
> transmittal and signing of the bill, but I wonder if you're ok
> with
> them being booked in anticipation of transmittal? If it weren't
> for the
> flap about special session timing, I probably wouldn't even have
> a shred
> of concern, but don't know whether booking now is going too far
> out on a
> limb. We have asked that the bill not be transmitted until June
> 7 or
> later so we can sign it on June 30.
>
> your thoughts?